

Muscle Profiling of the Beef Chuck and Round

D. Dwain Johnson* and Chris R. Calkins**

Introduction

The beef industry has experienced a steady decline in demand for its product over the last 20 years. This has resulted in a lower value in uninflated dollars for cuts produced from the carcass which has put profit pressure on all segments of the industry, especially the producer segment of the business. This decrease in value has not affected all parts of the beef carcass equally. The value of the round, chuck, and trimmings have decreased 20%, 23%, and 31%, respectively in relation to total carcass value, whereas the value of the rib and loin cuts have increased (Cattle Fax, 1998). These three carcass components make up 66 percent of the beef carcass. The marketing potential of the beef chuck and round is thought to be depressed due to much variability in (1) the palatability characteristics of the muscles and (2) composition (Johnson et al., 1988).

The diverse palatability characteristics are further amplified by the traditional way of fabricating the chuck and round. In many instances, muscles are cut without regard to muscle fiber orientation accentuating tenderness problems. Many researchers (Ramsbottom et al., 1945; Zinn et al., 1970; Prost et al., 1975; McKeith et al., 1985; Paterson and Parrish, 1986; Johnson et al., 1988) have studied several muscles from beef chuck and round, characterizing them according to their palatability attributes. Christensen et al. (1991) and Meade et al. (1992a) have reported on muscle boning the chuck and portions of the forequarter and characterized palatability traits of certain muscles. These and previous studies indicate that many muscles from the chuck and round are very desirable in palatability and tenderness if they are removed intact and cut in the proper muscle fiber orientation.

The National Consumer Retail Beef Studies (Savell et al., 1989; Savell et al., 1991) have suggested factors affecting the purchasing decisions of today's consumer are changing. These studies show that consumers want a convenient product that is very palatable without excess plate waste (fat and bone).

Recent Market Basket Studies (Savell et al., 1989; Savell et al., 1991) have also shown that retailers are responding to consumer demands by providing more boneless cuts with little or no external fat. The industry has been successful at meeting fat reduction demands of the consumer on cuts derived from middle meats and the round. Both the outside and seam fat on cuts from these primals can be easily removed. However, removal of seam fat from many of the chuck cuts without cut destruction is impossible under current fabrication techniques. Meade et al. (1992a, 1992b) demonstrated that whole muscle boning (conventionally and using accelerated processing) is a viable option of whole muscle removal, eliminating waste fat and bone but allowing maximum muscle utilization.

Therefore, if muscles from the round and chuck could be better characterized in palatability, composition, and physical attributes, processors might be more willing to remove these muscles intact so that their highest and best use could be fully realized and maximum value recouped for the industry.

Methodology

A study is currently underway at the University of Nebraska and the University of Florida which is funded by the National Cattleman's Beef Association to profile the muscles of the chuck and round to accomplish the above stated objectives.

Carcasses with a minimum of 24 hr chill were evaluated and selected by University personnel from the IBP facility at Dakota City, NE according to the selection matrix in Table 1.

The crosscut chuck was removed between the 5th and 6th ribs, cutting through center of the intercostal muscles perpendicular to the dorsal line of the carcass. This allowed the maximum number of chuck muscles to be removed intact so that full muscle dimensions and yields could be determined. The IMPS 158 round was also removed for muscle boning. Chucks and rounds from the lower third of the Choice grade across all yield grades and weight ranges were selected for intricate dissection. Dissection included whole muscle boning, separation of intermuscular fat, lean trim and bone. Chucks and rounds that had intricate muscle separation were used to quantify yield at three levels of trim (commodity, .63 cm, and denuded), physical dimensions, and gross characterization.

All muscles from the <318 kg and >364 kg weight classification were utilized for proximate composition, color, pig-

*D. D. Johnson, University of Florida, Animal Science Department, 224 Animal Science Bldg., P.O. Box 110910, Gainesville, FL 32611, johnson@animal.ufl.edu.

**C. R. Calkins, University of Nebraska, Animal Science Department, A213 Animal Science, Lincoln, NE, 68583, ansc702@unlvm.unl.edu.

Reciprocal Meat Conference Proceedings, Volume 52, 1999.

TABLE 1. Project Design.

	Yield Grade	Carcass Weight, kg			Animal #
		less than 318	318-364	over 364	
Upper Choice	1	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	48
	2	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	3	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	4&5	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
Lower Choice	1	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	48
	2	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	3	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	4&5	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
Select	1	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	48
	2	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	3	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
	4&5	PA-UNBL (4)	SF & TP (4)	PA-UNBL (4)	
Total Chucks and Rounds		48	48	48	144

PA-UNBL= proximate analysis and the other procedures conducted at University of Nebraska at Lincoln

SF= Warner-Bratzler shear force conducted at the University of Florida

TP= Taste Panel analysis conducted at the University of Florida

ment concentration, connective tissue characterization, bind, and fiber type analysis that is being conducted by the University of Nebraska in Lincoln.

Muscles from chucks and rounds from the 318 kg to 364 kg weight range were shipped to the University of Florida in Gainesville. Muscle portions or steaks were aged for a total of 14 days postmortem then frozen at -18° C for shear force or sensory panel evaluation.

One half of the muscles (i.e. two animals) per weight, yield grade, quality grade cell were cooked utilizing dry heat cookery (i.e. Farberware Open-Hearth Grille) to an internal temperatures of 71°C. The remaining half of the muscles (i.e. two animals) per cell were braised with moisture in a sealed cooking dish at 135°C oven temperature until 71°C was achieved. After cooking, muscles or steaks were allowed to cool and cores removed for Warner-Bratzler shear force determination according to AMSA (1995) guidelines. Twenty-six muscles from the chuck and 12 muscles from the round were sampled for shear force determinations.

Sensory evaluations are being conducted on all muscles removed from the chuck and round that are over .5 kg. This included 13 muscles from the chuck and 9 muscles from the round for a total of 22 muscles for sensory evaluation. Sensory panels were conducted according to AMSA (1995) guidelines.

Results

An update on research findings will be presented to participants to further explore the possible alternative uses of underutilized muscles of beef chuck and round.

References

- AMSA. 1995. Research Guidelines for Cookery, Sensory Evaluation and Instrumental Tenderness Measurements of Fresh Meat. American Meat Science Association and National Live Stock and Meat Board, Chicago, IL.
- Cattle Fax. 1998. Operating plan for fulfilling LRP and achieving greater focus. National Cattlemen's Beef Association. Denver, CO.
- Christensen, K.L.; Johnson, D.D.; West, R.L.; Marshall, T.T.; Hargrove, D.D. 1991. The effect of breed of sire and age at feeding on muscle tenderness in the beef chuck. *Journal of Animal Science* 69:3673-3678.
- Johnson, R.C.; Chen, C.M.; Muller, T.S.; Costello, W.H.; Romans, J.R.; Jones, K.W. 1988. Characterization of the muscles within the beef forequarter. *Journal of Food Science* 53:1247.
- Meade, M. K.; Johnson, D. D.; West, R. L.; Balaban, M. O. 1992b. Yield, USDA grade factors and time requirements for a beef partial hot fabrication procedure. *Journal of Food Science* 57:1038-1040, 1045.
- Meade, M. K.; Johnson, D. D.; West, R. L. 1992a. Physical and sensory characteristics and microbiological quality of beef from a partial hot fabrication procedure. *Journal of Food Science* 57:1041-1045.
- McKeith, F. K.; DeVol, D. L.; Miles, R.S.; Bechtel, P. J.; Carr, T. R. 1985. Chemical and sensory properties of thirteen major beef muscles. *Journal of Food Science* 50:869.
- Paterson, B. C.; Parrish, Jr., F. C. 1986. A sensory and chemical analysis of certain beef chuck muscles. *Journal of Food Science* 51:876.
- Prost, E.; Pelczynska, E.; Kotula, A. W. 1975. Quality characteristics of bovine meat. II. Beef tenderness in relation to individual muscles, age and sex of animals and carcass quality grade. *Journal of Animal Science* 41:541.
- Ramsbottom, J. M.; Strandine, E. J.; Koonz, C. H.. 1945. Comparative tenderness of representative beef muscles. *Food Research* 10:497.
- Savell, J. W.; Cross, H. R.; Francis, J. J.; Wise, J. W.; Hale, D. S.; Wilkes, D. L.; Smith, G. C. 1989. National consumer retail beef study: interaction of trim level, price and grade on consumer acceptance of beef steaks and roasts. *Journal of Food Quality* 12:251-274.
- Savell, J. W.; Harris, J. J.; Cross, H. R.; Hale, D. S.; Beasley, L. C. 1991. National beef market basket survey. *Journal of Animal Science* 69:2883-2893.
- Zinn, D. W., C. T. Gaskins, G. L. Gann and H. B. Hedrick. 1970. Beef muscle tenderness as influenced by days on feed, sex, maturity and anatomical location. *Journal of Animal Science* 31:307.